

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexabdria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,107	/849,107 05/04/2001		Stephen Andrew Brodsky	STL920000075US2	1986
48838	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
JEFFREY S	SAM SMI	TH	BAYARD, DJENANE M		
51 EAST CAMPBELL AVENUE CAMPBELL, CA 95008				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5	,			2141	
DATE MAILE!				DATE MAILED: 10/04/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/849,107	BRODSKY ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Djenane M. Bayard	2141					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Nation of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mor	ths of the date				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(a) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro)	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·	•					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at	out before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will avit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary				
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin	a a Nation of Appeal, but prior to the	na datá of filing a brio	If will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				

IJ

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant failed to address the double patenting rejection as set forth in the final action mailed on 7/26/05. However, since the co-pending application No. 09/849377 has been allowed, the filing of a terminal dsiclimer will overcome the double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101...

RUPAL DHARIA

PATENT EXAMINER